

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The Examiner's indication of the allowability of claims 4 and 7 is appreciated. Both claims have been rewritten in independent form adding respectively the claims from which they depend in the form extant when claims 4 and 7 were deemed allowable.

Rejected claim 11 depends from claim 7 deemed allowable by the Examiner, if written in independent form, which has been done. Claim 11 should be allowed for the same reasons as support the allowability of claim 7. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 11 is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 6 have been amended to recite that the cable lock body is "affixed on said housing." It is submitted that given its broadest reasonable interpretation neither claim can be read on prior art patent to Garvey. Moreover, as pointed out in the Manual at Section 2111.01;


("Claims are not to be read in a vacuum, and limitations therein are to be interpreted in light of the specification in giving them their 'broadest reasonable interpretation'. *In re Marosi*, 710 F.2d 799, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (quoting *In re Okuzawa*, 537 F.2d 545, 548, 190 USPQ 464, 466 (CCPA 1976) (emphasis in original)).

Following this caveat, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1 and 6 cannot be read so broadly as to embrace the totally different structure of the Garvey disclosure.

It is respectfully requested that the amendments to claims 1 and 6 be entered and the claims allowed. Should such action be considered inappropriate because of the finality of the rejection, it is requested that these amendments be entered to place the application in better form for appeal.

Any required fees may be charged to Deposit Account No. 10-0460.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert V. Jambor", written over a horizontal line.

Robert V. Jambor, Reg. No. 23,080

Dated: May 4, 2005

JENNER & BLOCK LLP
One IBM Plaza
Chicago, IL 60611
(312) 923-2814